Quantitative Evaluation of Sociologic Factors That Can Lead to Apparent Increases in Autism Prevalence
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Introduction

In the US and other first world countries, autism has risen dramatically over the last 2-3 decades (see references). Recent information suggests that autism increase within the last decade in Asian and African countries (e.g., Perera et al. 2005; Malhotra et al. 2006; Khan et al. 1996), although sample sizes are small. Scientific articles (e.g., Baron-Cohen 2009), popularly cited by the press, have characterized the measured rise in first world countries as being primarily artificial, due to broadened diagnosis and improved ascertainment (increased awareness by professionals, increased awareness by parents, and increased special education funding).

Recent studies have shown that broadened diagnosis (MINO Institute 2002) and diagnostic substitution (e.g., Newswetter et al. 2005; King & Beaman 2009) do not explain the measured increase in autism. A brief analysis of diagnostic coding changes and their relationship to autism disorder is shown in Figure 3. Autism rates are commonly graphed by birth year, with diagnosis made between age 3 and 8. Therefore, diagnostic coding changes should first impact birth years 3-8 years prior to the year of diagnostic change (see Figure 3). Change point analysis of California DDS data for AD identifies 1995 as an autism disorder changepoint and no diagnostic innovation event can be associated with this changepoint (see poster 118).4

The other factors (increased awareness by professionals and parents, increased funding), which are part of ‘improved ascertainment’ have not been objectively measured. In this study we report the contributions of increased professional awareness, parental awareness, and federal special education funding to autism disorder prevalence using objective measures representative of these sociologic phenomena from publicly available data.

Methods

Autism Prevalence

State level data were obtained from various publications as listed in the references. US national prevalence data were downloaded from http://www.fightingautism.org/usaer and Department of Education. Some pre-computed prevalence data were verified with direct downloads from the Department of Education IDEA program (http://www.ideaexchange.org) and prevalence was obtained by normalizing to birthyear data as obtained from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs. Non-weighted averages are calculated if multiple prevalence measurements are shown for a given year.

Professional Awareness

The number of professionals who can diagnose autism and the number of professional publications on autism are used as objective measures of professional awareness. Professional awareness can be quantitatively considered to be dependent both on the number of practicing professionals and on their interaction with other professionals, which can be measured using publication counts. It is assumed that all professionals read the literature, and the content of their bibliographies are ultimately derived from published scientific articles. Professionals who can diagnose ASD include pediatricians, psychiatrists, neurologists, and clinical psychologists. The numbers of pediatricians, psychiatrists, and neurologists were obtained from the US Statistical Abstracts. (http://www.census.gov/compendia/statatopast_years.html) published by the US Census Bureau. The numbers of clinical psychologists were obtained from the Department of Labor (http://www.doil.gov); missing counts for some years were linearly extrapolated. Backwards population counts were also obtained from the US Statistical Abstracts. The number of professional publications on autism were obtained by searching PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed) using search term ‘autism OR autism’ in the Title/Abstract. Only articles in English were used for comparisons with US data.

Parental Awareness

The number of messages on Yahoo groups related to autism is used to objectively measure parental awareness. Yahoo group websites (http://groups.yahoo.com) display the number of messages each month. These websites were downloaded and parsed to obtain the number of messages per year. Only groups with 2 or more members were included. For comparison, the number of messages from Yahoo sites on ‘Health and Wellness’ and ‘Children’, but not on ‘autism’ or ‘Asperger’, were also obtained.

Federal Special Education Funding

Funding tables were obtained from the CRS Report to Congress (2006) and the National Center for Education Statistics.

Input Data Statistics

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Autism Prevalence</th>
<th>Parental Awareness</th>
<th>Federal Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Census</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>128,000 total</td>
<td>10,132/10,132</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion

Autism prevalence is rising in the US and many other countries worldwide. The phrase ‘improved ascertainment’ has been used to explain and partially dismiss the significance of this rise. We quantified ‘improved ascertainment’ by using objective measures for the most important components of this term: professionals, parents, and funding.

Our results demonstrate that when objectively measured and analyzed, ‘improved ascertainment’ could not significantly contribute to the documented rise in AD or ASD prior to 1995. After 1995, both a linear increase in federal spending and an exponential increase in Internet use may have contributed to ‘improved ascertainment’; however, the data also show that the rise in these measures occurs well after autism had already risen significantly. Therefore the increase in funding and internet awareness are more likely to be a result of, rather than the cause of, the rise in autism.

Summary

1. Autism prevalence increased much faster than increases in professionals or publications. In fact, the data suggest that increased awareness is caused by the increase in autism.
2. It was only after 1998 that parental awareness of autism increased significantly; however, much of this rise may be due to an expected increase due to Internet usage.
3. Although federal mandates for Special Education funding for autism were signed in 1992, funding did not get disbursed until 1995.
4. Although recent autism prevalence increases may be partly due to sociological reasons, there already existed a non-sociological rise before 1995.

References

Changepoint

Changes in prevalence have been observed each decade since 1970. However, it is not clear if the increments were due to true changes in prevalence, or simply due to the fact that autism was not recognized in the past.

Increased awareness of parents, as measured by number of Yahoo chat group messages, do not play a significant role until the late 1990s.

Table 1

Data Source


128,000 average total of psychologists, psychiatrists, pediatricians, neurologists

Pubmed

10,132 autism OR autism articles (1977-2008)

Pubmed

14,288 autism articles (1977-2008)

Yahoo (1990-2008)

3,298 autism site totals

7,160,441 total messages

3,295 non-autism children’s health site totals

3,128,315 total messages

Data from National Center for Health Statistics shows that disbursement of federal funding for autism (to be part of Special Education) did not start until 1995, even though legislation was signed in 1992.
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